9P

*Previous references.*

File No. 192-12

1912

Serial Nos. 1-2

( Sep Rev )

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1-2

**Part B. for** June 13

---

SUBJECT.

Inamdars claim to the toddy Revenue of Kooparapalli Village, Srinivasapur Taluk

---

1 Frm the Excise Commr in Mysore No 5364 Dy 16.1.13 forwards the records of enquiry into the claim and states that the Inamdars are not entitled to the toddy excise revenue of the village

2 To The Excise Commr Comptroller to Govt,
No H 74 74-5 Dy 30.6.13
States that in concurrence with the opinions of the Excise Commr and the Dy Commr Govt hold that the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy excise revenue of the village and that he is entitled to only 1/5 of the grove farm rent

G. R. S. 58

G.R.S. 11] 1912-3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( S. R )

NOTES.

File No. 692-12

FILE HEADING.

Inamdars claim to the toddy revenue of Koopa-
napalli village, Kunrasapur Tk

From

The Excise Commr in Mysore

No. S-364 Dated 16/1/13 Received 18/1/13

Diary No. 2593 Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies. — Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards the records of enquiry into the claim and
states that the inamdar is not entitled to
the toddy excise of the village

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. The Sanad is not produced as it is stated
to have been burnt some years ago. The Quan
title deed does not make mention of toddy
revenue as having been conceded to
the Inamdar. The chits produced by

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15 6-12

(2

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 62 of 1912

Serial No.

N and two others along with it

Chi Dwandar are only receipts given by the contractor for having leased to him the toddy trees in the villages. This cannot be taken as evidence of enjoyment. There is no doubt the Dy Commr & the Excise Commr hold that the Dwandar is not entitled to the toddy revenue as contemplated in the G.O. of the 2nd August 1901.

5

Paper under disposal

A draft is put up for orders

Page 121 of the Excise manual

Mr. 80 Obk
21/5/13.

3 The Dy Comrs of Madras & Bangalore Type are of opinion that the claim is not admissible

4. Draft may be approved by L.J.

SOL
3/6
Dwarakanath Rao
18/6
SOL

2nd cancelled

Draft approved below para 2 ante

20/6/13

20/6 June 2016

Issue
JOR
23/6

abt B

2 To.

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

and

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(3

[R. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. & R. File No. 192 of 1912—13

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 2 | No.<br>The Excise Commissioner in Inglor<br>D " Comptroller to the Govt of "<br>No. H. 7474-5 & 30. 6. 1913.<br>States that in concurrence with the opinions of the Excise Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner, Govt hold that the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy excise revenue of the Village and that he is entitled to only 1/5 of the grove-farm rent. |

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPP—65,000—17-C-12.

No. 5366 Excise Commissioner's Office,
Dated, Bangalore, 16th January 1913.

DIARY No. 2553

From

M. Kantaraj Urs, Esq., B.A.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

1912-1913
SECTION FR
FILE No. 192-12
SERIAL No. 1

To

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., M.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the records of enquiry relating to the Inamdars claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Jodi Koopavarapalli village in the Sriniwaspur Taluk together with copy of docket No. 402 dated the 20-12-1912/2-1-1913 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, I have the honor to state that I concur with the Deputy Commissioner's opinion that the Jodidar is not entitled to the Revenue in question for reasons therein stated.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Excise Commissioner.

Enc to Sel 8/1-4/2 (2

Docket No.402 dated 20th December 1912/2-1-1913 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

---

Adverting to his docket No.8078 dated 8th April 1912, has the honor to submit herewith, for transmission to Government with his opinion the records of enquiry relating to the Imandar's claim to the Toddy Excise Revenue of Jodi Koopavarapalli in the Sreenivaspur Taluk together with the usual calendar relating to the case with the finding of the undersigned recorded thereon. The Inamdars are not entitled to Excise Revenue from toddy but are only entitled to tree-rent for the farming period in accordance with para 4 of Section II of Government Proceedings No.2074-83/R.F.166-92 dated 2nd August 1901.

---

"C o p y"

Excise Commissioner.

Enclosed 8 in 1892-12 (3

into by the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

No 1

| Finding. | Amount awarded if any. | Grounds of decision. |
|---|---|---|
| Claim rejected. Pee-rent should be given. In Court. Sd/- C. Srikanteswara Aiyar Deputy Commissioner and Vengal. 15. 2. 1912. | | Jodidar Narasimha Bhat present. He states that Alasinga Bhat examined on 20th September 1894 is his father now dead. He produces one more chit marked Exhibit "E" as having been found by him in search of records and says he has no more evidence to adduce. This Exhibit E refers to the same transaction as Exhibit D of the year Plavanga. referred to in Mr. Abdul Aziz's memo dated 20th September 1894. Neither the claim has been proved nor 50 years' enjoyment ~~preceding~~ preceding 1892-93 established. |

M Rama Rao
20/12/12

1-11-1912

for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Calendar of a claim to toddy revenue enquired

| Name of taluk. | Name of Hobli, Sannat or Nagani. | Name of Sam-Inam, Jodi or Kayamgutta village. | Name of Inamdar, Jodidar or Kayamgutta |
|---|---|---|---|
| Srinivaspur | Kolhavanahalli village. | Narasimhabhat. | |

Documents etc., relied upon

(1) A. Deposition of Narasimhaiah before the Extra Assistant Commissioner dated nil
(2) Exhibit B. Tamjidari order
(3) Exhibit C. Inam Title-deed No. 30785.
(4) Exhibit D. Guttegai Chit dated Bhadrapada Suddha Dasami of the year Pharanga.
(5) Exhibit E. Guttegai Chit dated — do —

None.

Witness examined

✓

Disallows the claim of the Inamdar to the Todry Excise of the village

14

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 192-12

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 2593

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( 88 )

, *the* 191 .

Paper under disposal

Date of issue 30-6-13

Signed by W Rajagopalachar

READ—

Letter no: S 364 of the 16/1/13 from the Excise Commr in Mysore submitting the record of enquiry to the Inamdar's claim relating to the Todry revenue

ORDER THEREON. ~~No.~~

of Joor Koopanapalli village, Srinivasapur Tk

order thereon

No 7474-5 of 30 June 1913

SUBJECT.

Chk RR 24/6

The Samad has not
been produced, nor has the
Inamdar title deed ~~to~~ conceded
the right of the toddy excise
revenue to the Inamdar. No
proof of enjoyment of this revenue
has been adduced by the Inamdar
Govt. concerning which
the opinions of both
the Dy Comm & the Excise Comm
hold that the Inamdar
is not entitled to
the toddy Excise revenue of the
village He is entitled
only to 1/5 of the grove farm
rent under rule 4 of the
rules issued by Govt in
GO 2074-83/R7 16692 of the
2nd August 904.

Print

SPL

Draw 15/6

For the Secy to Govt

To the Excise Comm in duplicate, with the
records
& the Comptroller Govt

GPB—20.000—2-10-11

M² 80 11/5/11

21/5/11

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial (Separate Revenue), dated 30th June 1913.*

READ—

Letter No. 5364, dated the 16th January 1913, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of Jodi Kupvarapalli village, Srinivaspur Taluk.

No. FL. 7474-5—S. R. 192-12-2, DATED BANGALORE, 30TH JUNE 1913.

ORDER THEREON.—The sannad has not been produced, nor has the Inam title-deed conceded the right of the toddy excise revenue to the Inamdar. No proof of enjoyment of this revenue has been adduced by the Inamdar. Government concurring with the opinions of both the Deputy Commissioner and the Excise Commissioner hold that the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy excise revenue of the village. He is entitled to only $\frac{1}{5}$ of the grove farm rent under Rule 4 of the Rules issued by Government in Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated the 2nd August 1901.

K. R. SRINIVASIENGAR,
*Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.*

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, with the records.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—C L

# 1912-13

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(SEPARATE REVENUE)

PROCEEDINGS

*Dated 30th June 1913*

No. Fl. 7474-5
S. R. 192-12-2

Holds that the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy excise revenue of Jodi Kupvarapalli village, Srinivaspur Taluk, but only to $\frac{1}{5}$ of the grove farm rent under Rule 4 of the Rules issued by Government in Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

GPB—15-7-13—45